$\widetilde{U}_0(x,\tau)$ ELLIPTIC PROBLEM SOLUTION ANALYTICITY WITH RESPECT TO τ . $\widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau)$ AND THEIR DERIVATIVES ASYMPTOTIC EVALUATIONS WITH τ

Merab Aghniashvili

Doctor of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Telavi Iakob Gogebashvili State University, Telavi, Georgia, E-mail: diana.mtchedlishvili@gmail.com

Diana Mtchedlishvili

Doctor of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, International Black Sea University, Tbilisi, Georgia, E-mail: diana.mtchedlishvili@gmail.com

Abstract

In the paper the $\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{0}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\tau})$ elliptic problem $M(\partial_{x})U - \nu\chi\theta - \chi^{0}\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial t^{2}} = H, \ \Delta\theta - \frac{1}{\vartheta}\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} - \eta\frac{\partial}{\partial t}divu = H_{7}$ [1] is conducted to find a solution of the following two problems:

$$\begin{split} & 1 \\ M(\partial x) \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \tau^{2} \chi^{0} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \int \tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \int \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \tilde{f}_{0}(y,\tau), \\ & \int \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau); \\ & 2) \\ 2) \\ M(\partial x) \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \tau^{2} \chi^{0} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \eta \chi \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = \vartheta_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau), \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0, \\ & \Delta \widetilde{\theta$$

$$2) 2) M(\partial x) \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \tau^{2} \chi^{0} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - v \chi \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{\vartheta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau), \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \eta \tau di v \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{\vartheta}_{07}^{(1)}(x,\tau), \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = 0.$$

It is shown that $\tilde{U}_0(x, \tau)$ elliptic problem's solution is analytic with respect to τ . $\tilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x, \tau)$ and their derivatives asymptotic assessments with τ are derived.

Key words: Elliptic problem, analyticity, asymptotic assessment, initial condition, boundary condition, integral equation.

Introduction

The main equations of Thermo-momentum Resiliency Dynamics can be written as

$$M(\partial x)U - \nu\chi\theta - \chi^{0}\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial t^{2}} = \vartheta, \qquad (1)$$

$$\Delta\theta - \frac{1}{\vartheta}\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} - \eta\frac{\partial}{\partial t}divu = \vartheta_{7}, \qquad (2)$$

where $M(\partial x)$ is a differential operator of Resiliency Momentum Theory [4], [5].

 $\chi = (\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, 0, 0, 0).$ $\chi^0 = \|\chi_{ij}^0\|_{6\times 6}, \ \chi_{ii}^0 = \rho, \ i = 1, 2, 3, \ \chi_{ii}^0 = Y, \ i = 4, 5, 6.$ $\chi_{ii}^0 = 0, \ i \neq j; \ \vartheta = (-\rho\hbar, -\rho\lambda); \ \vartheta_7 = -\frac{1}{\vartheta}Q. \ U = (u, w), \text{ where } u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \text{ is a compatibility vector, } w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) - \text{rotation vector, } \theta - \text{temperature.}$ Let *D* be a finite or infinite three-dimensional space with the compact boundary

S from the class $\Lambda 2(\alpha)$, $(\alpha > 0)$.

Denote by *Dl* and *Sl* cylinders $Dl = D \times l$, $Sl = S \times l$, respectively, where $l = [0, \infty)$.

Results

ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions reserchiet.academiascience.org

The following first problem is discussed: to find $\overline{D_l}$ cylinder's solutions $U = (u, \theta)$ of the equations (1) and (2) that belong to $C^1(\overline{D_l}) \cap C^2(D_l)$ and satisfy the initial conditions for $\forall x \in \overline{D}$:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} U(x,t) = \phi^{(0)}(x), \ \lim_{t \to 0} \theta(x,t) = \phi_7^{(0)}(x), \ \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial t} = \phi^{(1)}(x)$$
(3)

and boundary conditions for
$$\forall (y, t) \in S_l$$
:

$$\lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} U(x,t) = f(y,t), \quad \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \theta(x,t) = f_7(y,t).$$
(4)

Here, $\phi^{(i)} = (\phi^{(i)}, \phi^{(i)}), i = 0, 1$, where $\phi^{(k)}(i) = (\phi^{(i)}, \phi^{(i)}, \phi^{(k)}), k = 1, 2$ and $\phi^{(i)}_{7}$,

i = 0, 1, are given functions in \overline{D} ; $f = (f^{(1)}, f^{(2)})$, $f^{(i)} = (f_1^{(i)}, f_2^{(i)}, f_3^{(i)})$, i = 1, 2and f_7 are given functions in S_l . Here, the initial and boundary conditions satisfy 1⁰-5⁰ conditions [3].

Let
$$U = (u, \theta)$$
 be a solution of the first problem, then $U_0 = U - H$, where $H = (h, h_7)$, $h^{(i)} = \left(h_1^{(i)}, h_2^{(i)}, h_3^{(i)}\right)$, $i = 1, 2$, $h = e^{t^7} \sum_{k=0}^{6} \frac{t^k}{k!} \phi^{(k)}(x)$, $h^7 = e^{t^5} \sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{t^k}{k!} \phi_7^{(k)}(x)$ (5)

are the solutions of (1) and (2) equations right-side part:

$$\vartheta_{0} = \vartheta - M(\partial x)h + \nu \chi h_{7} + \chi^{0} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial t^{2}},$$

$$\vartheta_{07} = \vartheta_{7} - \Delta h_{7} + \frac{1}{\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{7} - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} divh^{(1)}$$

with zero initial and boundary conditions:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} U(x,t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial U_0(x,t)}{\partial t} = 0$$
(6)

$$\lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} U_0(x,t) = f(y,t) - h(y,t) = f_0(y,t)$$
(7)

$$\lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \theta_0(x, t) = f_7(y, t) - h_7(y, t) = f_{07}(y, t)$$
(8)

Denote this problem by I^0 .

 I^0 problem, using the Laplace transform

$$\widetilde{U}_0(x,\tau) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} U_0(x,t) dt$$

is conducted to the elliptic problem:

$$M(\partial x)\widetilde{U}_0(x,\tau) - \chi^0 \tau^2 \widetilde{U}_0(x,\tau) - \upsilon \chi \widetilde{\theta}_0(x,\tau) = \widetilde{\vartheta}_0(x,\tau)$$
(9)

$$\Delta \tilde{\theta}_0 - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \tilde{\theta}_0(x,\tau) - \eta \tau di v \tilde{U}_0(x,\tau) = \tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau)$$
(10)

$$\lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{U}_0(x, \tau) = \widetilde{f}_0(y, \tau), \tag{11}$$

$$\lim_{D \in x \to y \in S} \tilde{\theta}_0(x, \tau) = \tilde{f}_{07}(y, \tau), \tag{12}$$

where

ResearchJet Journal of

Analysis and Inventions

https://reserchjet.academiascience.org

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_0(x,\tau) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \vartheta_0(x,t) dt, \quad \tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \vartheta_{07}(x,t) dt, \tag{13}$$

$$\tilde{f}_0(y,\tau) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} f_0(y,t) dt, \quad \tilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} f_{07}(y,t) dt.$$
(14)

Let's denote this problem by (I_{τ}) .

In order to show that for $\tilde{U}_0(x,\tau)$ function there exists the Laplace inverse transform and this transform gives (I_{τ}) problem's classic solution, we have to derive evaluations of $\tilde{U}_0(x,\tau)$ and its second order derivative with respect to τ . For this, (I_{τ}) problem's solution can be expressed as the sum of the following two problems:

$$1) M(\partial x) \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \tau^{2} \chi^{0} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = 0,$$

$$\Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,t) = 0, \quad \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{f}_{0}(y,\tau), \quad \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau);$$

$$2) M(\partial x) \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \tau^{2} \chi^{0} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \upsilon \chi \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{\vartheta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau), \Delta \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \frac{\tau}{\vartheta} \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) - \eta \tau div \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = \widetilde{\vartheta}_{07}^{(1)}(x,\tau), \quad \lim_{D \ni x \to y \in S} \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}(x,\tau) = 0.$$
(15)

where

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \tilde{\vartheta}_{0}(x,\tau) + (\tau^{2} - \tilde{Y}^{2})\chi^{2}\tilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) + \nu\chi\tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau),$$
(16)

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau) = \tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau) + \frac{\tau - Y}{\vartheta} \tilde{\theta}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau) + \eta \tau di \nu \tilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau);$$
(17)

and \tilde{Y} is a fixed real number more than \tilde{Y}^2 [6]. Denote

$$\widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)} = \left(\widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}, \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}\right), \ \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)} = \left(\widetilde{U}_{0}^{(2)}, \widetilde{\theta}_{0}^{(2)}\right),$$

Let, $\tau \in \Pi_{Y_{0}} \ \left(\Pi_{Y_{0}} = \{\tau = Y + i\xi \in Z | Y \ge Y_{0}' > Y_{0}\}\right).$

Using the integration by parts formula for (13) and (14), from the following qualities:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^m \vartheta_0}{\partial t^m}\right)_{t=0} = 0, \qquad \left(\frac{\partial^k \vartheta_{07}}{\partial t^k}\right)_{t=0} = 0, \ x \in \overline{D}$$
(18)

$$\left(\frac{\partial^m f_0(y,t)}{\partial t^m}\right)_{t=0} = 0, \quad \left(\frac{\partial^k f_{07}(y,t)}{\partial t^k}\right)_{t=0} = 0, \ y \in S$$

$$m = 0,1, \dots, 6, \ k = 0,1, \dots, 4$$

$$(19)$$

we can derive

ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions https://reserchjet.academiascience.org

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_0(x,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^6} \left[\frac{\partial^5 \vartheta_0(x,t)}{\partial t^5} \right]_{t=0} + \frac{1}{t^6} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \frac{\partial^6 \vartheta_0(x,t)}{\partial t^6} dt, \qquad (20)$$

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^5} \left[\frac{\partial^4 \vartheta_{07}(x,t)}{\partial t^4} \right]_{t=0} + \frac{1}{\tau^5} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \frac{\partial^5 \vartheta_{07}(x,t)}{\partial t^5} dt, \qquad (21)$$

$$\tilde{f}_0(y,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^7} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \frac{\partial^7 f_0(y,t)}{\partial t^7} dt, \qquad (22)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^5} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \frac{\partial^5 f_{07}(y,t)}{\partial t^5} dt$$
(23)

$$f_0(y,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^8} \left[\frac{\partial^7 f_0(y,t)}{\partial t^7} \right]_{t=0} + \frac{1}{\tau^8} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \frac{\partial^8 f_0(y,t)}{\partial t^8} dt$$
(24)

$$f_{07}(y,\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^6} \left[\frac{\partial^5 f_{07}(y,t)}{\partial t^5} \right]_{t=0} + \frac{1}{\tau^6} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} \frac{\partial^6 f_{07}(y,t)}{\partial t^6} dt$$
(25)

From these qualities, considering 10-50 [1], we can derive that

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_0(x,\tau) \in C^{1,\delta}(\overline{D}), \tilde{\vartheta}_0(x,\tau) \in C^{1,\delta}(\overline{D}),$$
(26)

$$\tilde{f}_0(y,\tau) \in C^{1,\lambda}(S), \ \tilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau) \in C^{1,\lambda}(S).$$
 (27)

In Π_{Y_0} semi plane the following conditions [1] are true:

$$\left\|\tilde{\vartheta}_{0}(x,\tau)\right\|_{\left(\overline{D},0,\delta\right)} \leq \frac{C}{|\tau^{6}|'} \qquad \left\|\tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau)\right\|_{\left(\overline{D},0,\delta\right)} \leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^{5}}$$
(28)

$$\left\|\tilde{f}_{0}(y,\tau)\right\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \leq \frac{c}{|\tau|^{8}}, \quad \left\|\tilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau)\right\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{|\tau|^{6}}, \tag{29}$$

$$\|\tilde{f}_{0}(y,\tau)\|_{(S,1,\lambda)} \leq \frac{c}{|\tau|^{7}}, \qquad \|\tilde{f}_{07}(y,\tau)\|_{(S,1,\lambda)} \leq \frac{c}{|\tau|^{6}}, \tag{30}$$

For the highest meaning of |x|, using 50 condition [3], we have

$$\left|\tilde{\vartheta}_{0}(x,\tau)\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{2}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{6}} \left|\tilde{\vartheta}_{07}(x,\tau)\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{2}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{5}}.$$
(31)

The first problem has a unique solution [7] and can be expressed as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left[T(\partial y, n(y)) \Psi'(x-y, i\widetilde{Y}) \right]' \Psi(y,\tau) dy S.$$
(32)

where $T(\partial y, n(y))$ is an operator of a tension moment, n(y) is a normal of *S* surface in the point *y*. $\Psi(x - y, i\tilde{Y})$ equation is a fundamental matrix of the first problem [7].

 Ψ vector-function for the inner problem gives the solution of the following integral equation:

ISSN: 2776-0960

(33)

$$-\psi(z,\tau) + \int_{S} \left[T(\partial y, n(y)) \Psi(z-y, i\tilde{Y}) \right]' \psi(y,\tau) dy S = \tilde{f}_{0}(z,\tau)$$

and for the outer problem the following equation:

$$\psi(z,\tau) + \int_{S} \left[T\left(\partial y, n(y)\right) \Psi'\left(z-y, i\tilde{Y}\right) \right]' \psi(y,\tau) dy S = \tilde{f}_{0}(z,\tau).$$
(34)

The solution of this equation in Π_{Y_0} semi plane is analytic with respect to τ , as the right side of this integral equation is an analytic function of τ . Therefore, $U_0^{(1)}$ is analytically dependent on τ .

The Banach theorem shows that the equations (33) and (34) corresponding operator in $C^{0,\lambda}(S)$ set has the inverse operator. Therefore,

$$\|\psi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \le C \cdot \left\|\tilde{f}_0(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{(S,0,\lambda)}$$

From which, based on (29), we have

$$\|\psi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \le \frac{c}{|\tau|^8}.$$
 (35)

Analogously, based on (30), we have

$$\psi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{(S,1,\lambda)} \le \frac{c}{|\tau|^7}.$$
(36)

Using (35) and (36), from (32) [7] for $U_0^{(1)}$ we get the assessment:

$$\left\|\widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{\left(\overline{D}^{\pm},0,\lambda\right)} \leq C \cdot \left\|\psi(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^{8}}$$

$$(37)$$

$$\left\| \widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(\cdot,\tau) \right\|_{\left(\overline{D}^{\pm},1,\lambda\right)} \leq \frac{c}{|\tau|^{7}}.$$
(38)

For the inner area, based on the inequality [6]

$$\partial^p \Phi(x, i\tau) | \le \frac{C}{|x|^{p+1}} |\tau|^p, \ |p| = 0, 1, \dots$$

and from (35), (36), (32), we get:

$$\widetilde{U}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau) \Big| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{2}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{8}},$$
(39)

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau)\right| \le \frac{C}{|x|^2} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{8'}}$$
(40)

where $k = 1, 2, 3, \tau \in \Pi_{Y_0}$.

For any area $\overline{D}^* \subset D$ we have:

$$\sup_{\alpha \in D^*} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x, \tau) \right| \le \frac{C(D^*)}{|\tau|^8}, \ \tau \in \Pi_{Y_0}, \tag{41}$$

This means that there exists one unique solution for the first problem [1] that can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\theta}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \int_S \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \frac{e^{-k|x-y|}}{|x-y|} \phi_7(y,\tau) dy S, \ x \in D$$
(42)

ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions https://reserchjet.academiascience.org

where $k = \frac{\tilde{Y}}{\vartheta} > 0$, and $\phi_7(x, \tau)$ function represents a solution of the singular integral equation:

$$\phi_7(z,\tau) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_S \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \frac{e^{-k|z-y|}}{|z-y|} \phi_7(y,\tau) dy S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{f}_{07}(z,\tau)$$
(43)

for the inner problem, and

$$-\phi_7(z,\tau) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_S \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \frac{e^{-k|z-y|}}{|z-y|} \phi_7(y,\tau) dy S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{f}_{07}(z,\tau)$$
(44)

for the outer problem.

As $\tilde{f}_{07}(z,\tau)$ is analytic with respect to τ , the solutions of (43) and (44) will be also analytic functions with respect to τ . So, from (42), $\tilde{\theta}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau)$ will be analytically dependent on τ .

For $\tau \in \Pi_{Y_0}$, using (29) and (31), from (43) and (44), based on the Banach theorem, we get:

$$\|\phi_{7}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \le C \left\|\tilde{f}_{07}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{(S,0,\lambda)} \le \frac{C}{|\tau|^{6}},\tag{45}$$

$$\|\phi_{7}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{(S,1,\lambda)} \le \frac{c}{|\tau|^{5}}.$$
(46)

Hence, from (42) we get [7]:

$$\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{\left(\overline{D}^{+},0,\lambda\right)} \leq C \|\phi_{7}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{(S,1,\lambda)} \leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^{6'}}$$

$$\tag{47}$$

$$\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{\left(\overline{D}^{+},1,\lambda\right)} \leq C \|\phi_{7}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{(S,1,\lambda)} \leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^{5'}}$$

$$\tag{48}$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\tilde{\theta}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau)\right| \le \frac{c}{|\tau|^5}, \ x \in \overline{D}^+, \ k = 1,2,3$$
(49)

For the highest |x| from (46) we can derive:

$$\left|\tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau)\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\tau|^{6}} \left|\frac{\partial\tilde{\theta}_{0}^{(1)}(x,\tau)}{\partial x_{k}}\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{2}} \frac{1}{|\tau|^{5}} k = 1,2,3.$$
(50)

For $\overline{D}^* \subset D$

$$\sup_{x \in D^*} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \tilde{\theta}_0^{(1)}(x, \tau) \right| \le \frac{C(D^*)}{|\tau|^6}, \ k, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
(51)

Conclusion

It is proved that $\widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau) = \left(\widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}, \widetilde{\theta}_0^{(1)}\right)$ is analytic with respect to τ . The asymptotic assessments of $\widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x,\tau)$ and their derivatives are derived in order to get integral equations.

ResearchJet Journal of

Analysis and Inventions

ttps://reserchjet.academiascience.org

References

- 1) Aghniashvili, M., Mtchedlishvili, D. (2006). The reduction of the dynamics first problem of thermo-momentum theory of resiliency to the elliptic problem. Periodical Scientific Journal "Intelecti", 3 (26), pp. 11-12 (in Georgian).
- 2) Aghniashvili, M., Mtchedlishvili, D. (2006). The theorems of existence and uniqueness of the elliptic problem solution. Scientific Papers of I. Gogebashvili Telavi State University, 2 (20), pp. 22-26 (in Georgian).
- 3) Aghniashvili, M., Mtchedlishvili, D. (2006). The statement of the main boundary problems of thermo-momentum theory of resiliency and the uniqueness theorems. Scientific Papers of I. Gogebashvili Telavi State University, 2 (20), pp. 20-21 (in Georgian).
- 4) Aghniashvili, M. (1976). Some boundary value problems of thermomomentum resilience theory. Tbilisi (in Russian).
- 5) Kupradze, V., Gegelia, T., Basheleishvili, M., Burtchuladze, T. (1976). Threedimensional problems of mathematical resilience theory and thermoresiliency. Tbilisi (in Russian).
- 6) Kapanadze, R., Tchitchinadze R. (1976). Some boundary value problems of dynamics of momentum resilience theory. Tbilisi (in Russian).
- 7) Novitski, V. (1975). Resilience theory. Moscow (in Russian).

ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions nttps://reserchjet.academiascience.org